



# Conclusions Workshops

**Patrick WILLEMS, K.U.Leuven**

**in cooperation with the workshop facilitators and reporters :**

**Anthony CHAPMAN  
Fred HATTERMANN  
Britta KASTENS  
Katharina KOBER  
Verena MATTHEIß  
Clio MONOKROUSOU  
Yannick POCHON  
Pierre STROSSER  
Thomas VANSTEENKISTE**

**Wim DE LANGE  
Natacha JACQUIN  
Christian KNEIPER  
Kees KRAMER  
Stephen MIDGLEY  
Jurgen PLYSON  
Frank PROVOST  
Guido VAES**

# Introduction



- There is strong need to enhance the water related Science-Policy interfacing
  - « Translators » needed (RTD community ↔ water policy development and implementation community)

## W2: WISE-RTD portal system



- Linking of RTD results (methodologies, tools, technologies, experiences) of relevance for water policy implementation with policy related information
  - classified per water implementation task, theme, ... (based on activity and context related keywords)
  - guided search for different types of users (user profile, fingerprint)
- Switch board rather than information base

## W2: WISE-RTD portal system



- Maintain the system, further improve the easy/quick user search for information, continuing upload of information (further structuring/classification/filtering of information)
- Search for information is language/terminology dependent (synonyms, generalizations, ...)
- Investigate success rate information search
- Sustainability of URL links
- Portal in combination with internet forum ?

# W1/W3: Information collection and availability + upload

---



- Information of many projects not available any more; challenge to have systematic store (e.g. CORDIS)
- Upload and keyword linking: to be done by information owner (e.g. project coordinator) → WPIS + quality check
- Upload of information from outside large EU funds
- Upload of local, national information (e.g. ERA-Net, platforms needed at different scales (local, national, regional) ?)
- Language issue (information in national language)
- Avoid upload of bad, hardly understandable, ... examples ? → also upload of lessons learned

## W6/W7/W8/W9: Interlinking



- Large need to share information (interlinks rather than copy of information); cooperation to be supported
- « Easy upload » of information from extra field/source
- Increases critical mass → easier to set standard operating procedures

# W6/W7/W8/W9: Interlinking



- WISE-RTD ← EUGRIS, Riskbase, Snowman, Aquaterra Integrator, ERA-Net, IWRM-Net, CIRCLE, CRUE
- WISE-RTD ↔ NeWater (adapted water management):
  - Full integration
  - Generalization of WFD planning cycle to IWRM steps

# W4/W5: Facilitating IWRM in non-EU countries

---



- Cases: Sebou (Morocco), Litani (Lebanon)
- Non-EU countries not familiar with WFD terminology  
→ how to transfer WFD/IWRM principles to these countries? → generalize WFD process to IWRM
- No “unilateral streams” of information from EU to non-EU countries, but platforms for sharing principles
- Lessons learned from SPI-Water regarding non-EU activities could be developed for wider dissemination